

INFLUENCES OF RIDGE WIDTH AND INTERCROPPING ONION WITH FABA BEAN ON BULB YIELD AND COMEPTITIVE RELATIONSHIPS

El Refaey R.A.¹, U.A. Abd El Razek¹, M.N. Sherief² and Manal A.K.Z. Shehata²

¹Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, Egypt.

² Crop Intensification Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, A.R.C., Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The study was carried out during the two successive seasons of 2013/14 and 2014/15 at the farm of Sers El-Lyan Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, El – Menofiya Governorate, Egypt to identify and assess the suitable pattern of intercropping onion with faba bean for increasing land usage and profitability of farmers. The treatments between three ridge widths (60, 90 and 120 cm) and ten cropping systems (100% faba bean + 27% onion, 100% faba bean + 33% onion, 100% faba bean + 41% onion, 100% faba bean + 55% onion, sole faba bean 'recommended', sole faba bean 'I', sole faba bean 'II', sole onion 'recommended', sole onion 'I' and sole onion 'II') were studied. The experimental layout was conducted in split plot design with three replications by allocating the ridge widths in the main- plots and cropping systems in the sub-plots. Sub-plot area consisted of (12 ridges-60 cm width or 8 ridges-90 cm width and 6 ridges-120 cm apart) and 3 meters long. The results showed that' higher bulb diameter was obtained, followed by 90 cm ridge width. On the other hand, the closest ridge width gave the lowest bulb diameter. The highest total weight of the plant, average bulb weight and bulbs yield/fad were obtained under the widest ridge width, followed by 90 cm ridge width. Sole onion had the highest number of leaves per plant, bulbs length and diameter, total weight of the plant, bulb weight and blubs yield per fad compared to those of intercropping patterns. The maximum number of leaves per plant, bulbs length and diameter, total weight of the plant and bulb weight were obtained by intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 27% onion; Meanwhile' the highest bulbs yield per fad was recorded by intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 55% onion. The interaction between ridge widths and cropping systems was significant for most onion traits. Maximum relative yield of onion and land equivalent ratio were obtained by intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 55% onion of ridge width 120 cm. On the other side, maximum relative crowding coefficient (RCC) was obtained by intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 27% onion of ridge width 120 cm. The results indicate that' the value of aggressivity of faba bean was positive for all treatments, while, the values of aggressivity were negative for all intercropped onion with faba bean in both seasons. Intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 55% onion of ridge width 120 cm achieved the highest bulbs yield and economic return.

Keywords: Ridge width, Intercropping, faba bean, onion, competitive relationships, economic return

الملخص :

تم تنفيذ تجارب هذه الدراسة بمحطة البحوث والتجارب الزراعية – مركز البحوث الزراعية بسرس الليان بمحافظة المنوفية – مصر خلال موسمي الزراعة الشتوية ٢٠١٣/١٤م و ٢٠١٤/١٥م لتحديد وتقييم أفضل نظام لتحميل البصل مع الفول البلدي لزيادة كفاءة إستغلال الأرض وأرباحية المزارعين.

وقد كانت العوامل المدروسة هي عرض الخطوط (٦٠ سم، ٩٠ سم، ١٢٠ سم) ونظم التحميل (١٠٠% فول + ٢٧% بصل) و (١٠٠% فول + ٣٣% بصل) و (١٠٠% فول + ٤١% بصل) و (١٠٠% فول + ٥٥% بصل) بالإضافة الى الزراعة النقية لكل من الفول والبصل على الخطوط (٦٠ سم، ٩٠ سم، ١٢٠ سم) وقد استخدمت القطع النقية للمحصولين على خط عرضه ٦٠ سم لحساب العلاقات التنافسية. نفذت التجربة في ثلاث مكررات في تصميم القطع

المنشقة حيث استخدم عرض الخطوط في القطع الرئيسية ونظم التحميل في القطع الشقية. اشارت النتائج ان عرض الخطوط كان له تأثير معنوي على كل من طول البصلة وقطرها في موسمي الدراسة - وزن النبات الكلي في الموسم الاول ووزن البصلة في الموسم الثاني ومحصول البصل للفدان في كلا الموسمين في حين لم يتأثر كل من ارتفاع النبات وعدد الاوراق في كلا الموسمين بعرض الخطوط - وكذا الوزن الكلي للنبات في الموسم الثاني ووزن البصلة في الموسم الاول. اعطت الخطوط ١٢٠ سم اعلى قطر للبصلة واعلى القيم بالنسبة لوزن النبات الكلي ووزن البصلة ومحصول الابصال للفدان يليها الخطوط ٩٠. كان لنظم التحميل المختلفة تأثيرا معنويا على كل من عدد الاوراق، طول البصلة، قطر البصلة، ووزن النبات الكلي، ووزن البصلة ومحصول الابصال للفدان في موسمي الدراسة في حين لم يتأثر ارتفاع النبات بنظم التحميل. تفوقت الزراعة النقيه للبصل حيث اعطت اعلى القيم في عدد الاوراق للنبات وطول وقطر البصلة، ووزن النبات الكلي، ووزن البصلة ومحصول الابصال للفدان مقارنة بكل نظم التحميل تحت دراسته تفوقت المعاملة ١٠٠% فول+٢٧% بصل بنظم الاخرى في عدد الاوراق للنبات وطول وقطر البصلة و الوزن الكلي للنبات ووزن البصلة في حين اعطى هذا النظام اقل محصول للفدان وتم الحصول على اعلى القيم عند تطبيق نظام التحميل ١٠٠% فول+٥٥% بصل. تشير النتائج ان التفاعل بين عرض الخطوط ونظم التحميل كان له تأثير معنوي على كل من ارتفاع ذببات البصل و عدد الاوراق للنبات، طول و قطر البصلة الوزن الكلي للنبات، ووزن البصلة ومحصول الابصال للفدان في موسمي الدراسة. وقد اعطت الزراعة النقيه على خطوط ١٢٠ سم اعلى القيم في كل من عدد اوراق النبات، طول وقطر البصلة في الموسم الاول ووزن النبات الكلي، ووزن البصلة ومحصول الابصال للفدان في كلا الموسمين. كما اعطى نظام تحميل ١٠٠% فول+٢٧% بصل على خطوط ١٢٠ سم اعلى قطر للبصلة في الموسم الثاني، ووزن النبات الكلي، ووزن للبصلة في كلا الموسمين مقارنة بنظم التحميل الاخرى، وقد أدى زيادة كثافة البصل في نظم التحميل المستخدمة من ٢٧% إلى ٥٥% إلى زيادة المحصول النسبي للبصل في حين أدى ذلك إلى انخفاض في المحصول النسبي للقول البلدي وأدت هذه الزيادة في كثافة البصل إلى زيادة مضطربة في قيمة (LER) كفاءة استخدام الأرض في كلا الموسمين. أظهرت النتائج تأثيرا معنويا للتفاعل بين عرض الخطوط ونظم التحميل على المحصول النسبي لكل من البصل والقول البلدي وكذا قيمة كفاءة استخدام الأرض LER في موسمي الدراسة، حيث أعطت نظم التحميل (١٠٠% فول+٥٥% بصل) على خطوط ١٢٠ سم إلى الحصول على أعلى قيم للصفات السابقة. كان لعرض الخطوط تأثيرا معنويا على قيم معامل الحشد النسبي في موسمي الدراسة وتم الحصول على أعلى القيم عن استخدام خطوط ١٢٠ سم في حين أدى استخدام خطوط ٩٠ سم للحصول على أقل القيم. أدى استخدام نظام (١٠٠% فول+٢٧% بصل) لزيادة معنوية في قيم معامل الحشد النسبي، وأوصت النتائج أن نظام التحميل (١٠٠% فول+٢٧% بصل) على خطوط ١٢٠ سم قد حقق أعلى قيمة لهذا العامل. كان للتفاعل بين عرض الخطوط ونظم التحميل تأثير معنوي على قيم العدوانية حيث ادت استخدام نظام التحميل (١٠٠% فول+٥٥% بصل) على خطوط ٦٠ سم إلى زياده معنويه في قيم العدوانية و اكدت كل نتائج العدوانية ان الفول البلدي كان المحصول السائد والذي يحمل قيما موجبه للعدوانية في حين كان محصول البصل مسودا ويحمل قيما سالبه. حقق نظام تحميل (١٠٠% فول+٥٥% بصل) على خطوط ١٢٠ سم اعلى قيم لمعامل الميزة النقدية (MAI).

INTERODUCTION

In Egypt, the low size of cultivated land per farmer is the one of the most problems associated with cropping system. So, output improvement in a crop production system must be related to the better use of resources. Proper cropping system could be ensured optimum plant growth through adequate utilization of moisture, light, spacing and nutrients. Intercropping as a cash field crop such as onion (*Allium cepa* L.) with faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) could be appropriate agronomic practice for increasing land use efficiency and farmer's benefit in the Nile Valley and Delta areas. It is known that' onion is one of the most important vegetable crops grown worldwide with 57.9 million tons produced annually (USDA, 2005). Onion has been reported to be rich in phytochemicals especially medicinal flavonols (Javadzadeh et al., 2009).

However, El-Hawary et al. (1991) showed that although intercropping onion with faba bean decreased yield of the both crops, but all intercropping treatments gave better financial returns than either crop grown alone. Also, Ghobashi and El-Aweel (1996) found that' land equivalent ratio was greater than one in intercropping of onion with faba bean compared with the sole cultures. Accordingly, it is expected that a suitable spatial arrangement of intercropping onion with faba bean could be affected by ridge width.

Certainly, ridge width have important role in sole culture, which in turn determines the area available to individual plant. The response of sole faba bean to ridge width and plant density

indicated that there was a wide range of densities are commonly used (Bianchi, 1979; Ageeb, 1983; Caballero, 1987; Pilbeam *et al.*, 1991; Almeida *et al.*, 1995; Loss *et al.*, 1998; López-Bellido *et al.*, 2005; Mathews *et al.*, 2008; Khalil *et al.*, 2010; Ragab *et al.*, 2010 and Abd El-Rahman, 2014). With respect to onion crop, Khan *et al.* (2003) mentioned that the productivity of onion crop is strongly influenced by a number of environmental factors including the cultural practices such as plant density. Also, Dorcas *et al.* (2012) reported that increasing plant density of onion from 100,000 to 500,000 plants ha⁻¹ decreased average bulb weight and bulb diameter from 58.22 g to 40.04 g and 4.56 cm to 2.83 cm, respectively. Thus, the main target of this study was to identify and assess the suitable pattern of intercropping onion with faba bean for increasing land usage and profitability of farmers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during the two successive seasons of 2013/14 and 2014/15 at the farm of Sers El-Lyan Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, El – Menofiya Governorate, Egypt. The aim of the present investigation was to identify and assess the suitable pattern of intercropping onion with faba bean for increasing land usage and profitability of farmers. The treatments were the combinations between ridge widths and cropping systems as follows:

1. Growing two sides of ridge with faba bean plants (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 60 cm width. This pattern was expressed as sole faba bean (recommended).
2. Growing three rows of onion transplants spaced at 10 cm apart in the upper of ridge of 60 cm width. This pattern was expressed as sole onion (recommended).
3. Growing two sides of ridge with faba bean plants (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 60 cm width, besides growing one row of onion transplants spaced at 12 cm apart on the middle of the ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 27% onion.
4. Growing two sides of ridge with faba bean plants (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 60 cm width, besides growing one row of onion transplants spaced at 10 cm apart on the middle of the ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 33% onion.
5. Growing two sides of ridge with faba bean plants (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 60 cm width, besides growing one row of onion transplants spaced at 8 cm apart on the middle of the ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 41% onion.
6. Growing two sides of ridge with faba bean plants (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 60 cm width, besides growing one row of onion transplants spaced at 6 cm apart on the middle of the ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 55% onion.
7. Growing three rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 90 cm width. This pattern was expressed as sole faba bean (I).
8. Growing four rows of onion plants on one ridge transplants spaced at 9 cm apart in ridge of 90 cm width. This pattern was expressed as sole onion (I).
9. Growing three rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 90 cm width, besides growing one row of onion transplants spaced at 8 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 27% onion.
10. Growing three rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 90 cm width, besides growing one row of onion transplants spaced at 6.6 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 33% onion.

11. Growing three rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 90 cm width, besides growing two rows of onion transplants spaced at 10.6 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 41% onion.
12. Growing three rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 90 cm width, besides growing two rows of onion transplants spaced at 8 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 55% onion.
13. Growing four rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 120 cm width. This pattern was expressed as sole faba bean (II).
14. Growing six rows of onion transplants spaced at 10 cm apart on ridge of 120 cm width. This pattern was expressed as sole onion (II).
15. Growing four rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 120 cm width, besides growing two rows of onion transplants spaced at 12 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 27% onion.
16. Growing four rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 120 cm width, besides growing two rows of onion transplants spaced at 10 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 33% onion.
17. Growing four rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 120 cm width, besides growing two rows of onion transplants spaced at 8 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 41% onion.
18. Growing four rows of faba bean plants on one ridge (2 plants/hill spaced at 25 cm apart) on a ridge of 120 cm width, besides growing two rows of onion transplants spaced at 6 cm apart in the same ridge. This pattern was expressed as 100% faba bean + 55% onion.

RECOMMENDED SOLE CULTURES OF BOTH CROPS WERE USED TO ESTIMATE THE COMPETITIVE RELATIONSHIPS.

The recommended cultural practices for growing faba bean and onion crops were used. The experimental soil texture was clay. Onion seedlings were sown on November 5th and 7th in 2013 and 2014 seasons, respectively, while, faba bean seeds were sown three weeks later. Onion seedlings (var. Giza 20) kindly provided by Onion Research Department, and faba bean seeds (var. Giza 843) kindly provided by Food Legumes Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, ARC.

The experimental layout was conducted in split plot design with three replications by allocating the ridge widths in the main- plots and cropping systems in the sub-plots. Sub-plot area consisted of (12 ridges-60 cm width or 8 ridges-90 cm width and 6 ridges-120 cm apart) and 3 meters long.

The studied traits: At harvest, ten plants were taken randomly from each sub-plot to estimate the following traits:

a. ONION TRAITS:

1. Plant height (cm).
2. Number of leaves / plant.

3. Bulb length (cm).
4. Bulb diameter (cm).
5. Total weight of plant (g)
6. Bulb weight (g).
7. Bulbs yield (ton) / fad: it was recorded on the basis of experimental sub plot and expressed as ton per fad.
8. Faba bean seed yield (ardab) / fad: it was recorded on the basis of experimental sub-plot and expressed as ardab per fad.

a. COMPETITIVE RELATIONSHIPS AND YIELD ADVANTAGES:

1. Land equivalent ratio (LER): LER, defined as the ratio of area needed under solid cropping to one of intercropping at the same management level to produce an equivalent yield as proposed by **Mead and Willey (1980)**.

2. Relative crowding coefficient (RCC): RCC, which estimates the relative dominance of one species over the other in the intercropping system as outlined by **Banik et al.(2006)**

b. Aggressivity: Aggressivity which represents a simple measure of how much the relative yield increase in one crop is greater than the other in an intercropping system (**Willey, 1979**)

c. Monetary Advantage Index (MAI)

The prices of faba bean and onion were L.E. 740/ardab and L.E. 1500/ton (**Bulletin of Statistical Cost Production and Net Return, 2015**), MAI suggests that' the economic assessment should be in terms of the value of land saved; this could probably be most assessed on the basis of the rentable value of this land. MAI was calculated according to the formula, suggested by **Willey (1979)**.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

The data were subjected to proper statistical analysis of variance. The treatments means were compared by using the least significant differences (L.S.D.) test at 5% and 1% levels of probability, F test was also followed to differentiate among means of studied characters as recommended by **Snedecor and Cochran (1973)** and by SAS 2006 Statistical analysis program, SAS User's Guide: Statistics. SAS Institute Inc Editor, Cary, NC.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. BULBS YIELD AND ITS ATTRIBUTES

1. EFFECT OF RIDGE WIDTHS

Data in Table (1) showed that' ridge widths had significant effects on bulbs length and diameter in both seasons, total weight of the plant in 1st season, bulb weight in 2nd season and bulbs yield (ton) per fad in both seasons; Meanwhile' plant height and number of leaves per plant in both seasons, as well as, total weight of the plant in 2nd season and bulb weight in 1st season were not significantly affected. Obviously, onion plants of ridges 120 cm had the highest bulb diameter in both seasons, total weight of the plant in 1st season, bulb weight in 2nd season and bulbs yield (ton) /fad in both seasons compared with the other ridge widths.

Different ridge width showed significant variations and the widest ridge width was superior to all the other ridge widths, where higher bulb diameter (5.46 cm in 1st season and 5.01 cm in 2nd season) was obtained, followed by 90 cm ridge width that had bulb diameter 4.32 cm in 1st season and 4.23 cm in 2nd season. On the other hand, the closest ridge width gave the lowest bulb diameter 4.11 cm in 1st season and 4.02 cm in 2nd season. These results could be due to that the widest ridge width furnished better above and under – ground conditions for onion plants to grow well compared to the other ridge widths. Accordingly, it is expected that' growth resources such as water and nutrients were more completely absorbed and converted to crop biomass during growth and development stages of onion. Significant maximum total weight of the plant (119.67 g in 1st season) was obtained under the widest ridge width, followed by 90 cm ridge width with value of 113.00 g in 1st season. Meanwhile' the closest ridge width gave minimum total weight of plant with value of 108.33 g in 1st season. Significant maximum average bulb weight of the widest ridge width (81.00 g in 2nd season) was obtained, followed by 90 cm ridge width with value of 77.80 g in 2nd season weighed bulbs where there is no significant difference between both. On the other hand, the closest ridge width gave the lowest bulb weight (68.33 g in 2nd season) compared with the others. The maximum bulb weight of the widest ridge width might be due

to more space available to bulb for expression

and less competition for nutrient and light. Its line with the finding of **Balraj *et al.* (1998)** who reported that' average bulb

Table (1): Effect of ridge widths, cropping systems and their interactions on bulb yield and its attributes during the two seasons (2013/14 and 2014/15).

Traits Treatments		Plant height (cm)		No. of leaves/plant		Bulb length (cm)		Bulb diameter (cm)	
		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
Ridge widths 60 cm		77.67	70.58	10.33	10.34	5.45	5.74	4.11	4.02
90 cm		76.00	73.89	10.27	10.46	5.33	5.62	4.32	4.23
120 cm		79.13	75.40	11.23	11.15	5.60	5.52	5.46	5.01
F - test		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	*	*	**	*
L.S.D	0.05	---	---	---	---	0.18	0.14	---	0.64
	0.01	---	---	---	---	---	---	0.95	---
Cropping systemsSole onion		76.11	72.78	12.33	12.12	6.27	5.88	5.54	5.44
100% faba bean + 27% onion		78.33	70.44	10.67	10.78	5.59	5.68	4.76	4.41
100% faba bean + 33% onion		74.44	74.32	9.89	9.89	5.33	5.39	4.01	4.06
100% faba bean + 41% onion		81.11	74.50	10.06	10.12	4.76	5.62	4.58	3.90
100% faba bean + 55% onion		78.00	74.41	10.11	10.33	5.36	5.57	4.26	4.28
F - test		N.S.	N.S.	**	**	**	**	**	**
L.S.D	0.05	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	0.01	---	---	1.21	1.06	0.68	0.24	0.58	0.56
Interactions				13.00	12.67	5.93	5.93	5.60	5.30
60 cm x sole onion (Recommended)		76.67	71.33						
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		81.67	70.67	9.67	10.67	6.07	5.83	3.93	3.67

60 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)	71.67	70.50	9.67	10.00	6.07	5.67	3.80	4.00	
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)	73.33	68.50	9.33	9.03	4.33	5.67	3.60	3.77	
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)	85.00	71.90	10.00	9.33	4.83	5.60	3.60	3.37	
90 cm x sole onion (I)	70.00	72.00	11.00	12.30	6.33	5.90	4.83	5.50	
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)	78.33	74.00	11.00	9.67	5.73	5.20	5.00	4.07	
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)	73.33	74.47	9.33	9.33	4.43	5.30	3.43	3.33	
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)	83.33	74.33	10.67	10.33	4.50	5.80	4.33	3.77	
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)	75.00	74.67	9.33	10.67	5.67	5.90	4.00	4.47	
120 cm x sole onion (II)	81.67	75.00	13.00	11.40	6.53	5.80	6.20	5.53	
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)	75.00	66.67	11.33	12.00	4.97	6.00	5.33	5.50	
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)	78.33	78.00	10.67	10.33	5.50	5.20	4.80	4.83	
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)	86.67	80.67	10.17	11.00	5.43	5.40	5.80	4.17	
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)	74.00	76.67	11.00	11.00	5.57	5.20	5.17	5.00	
F - test	**	*	*	*	*	**	*	**	
L.S.D	0.05	---	10.62	1.21	2.35	1.75	---	1.30	---
	0.01	18.73	---	---	---	---	0.76	---	1.67

Table (1): Continued.

Traits	Total weight of plant (g)	Bulb weight (g)	Bulbs (ton/fad)	yield	Faba bean seed yield (ardab/fad)
--------	---------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------	----------------------------------

Treatments	2013/1	2014/15	2013/1	2014/1	2013/1	2014/1	2013/1	2014/1
	4		4	5	4	5	4	5
Ridge widths 60 cm	108.33	113.73	74.80	68.33	4.92	4.46	10.85	10.01
90 cm	113.00	116.40	79.80	77.80	5.20	4.61	10.38	10.15
120 cm	119.67	125.40	80.33	81.00	5.82	4.87	11.32	10.35
F - test	*	N.S.	N.S.	**	**	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	6.48	---	---	---	0.32	0.84	0.21
	0.01	---	---	---	8.94	0.61	---	---
Cropping systems Sole onion	138.89	137.78	105.89	94.44	12.03	10.40	11.59	11.27
100% faba bean + 27% onion	115.56	114.89	74.44	75.56	2.78	2.31	10.99	10.49
100% faba bean + 33% onion	112.78	115.56	70.89	73.33	3.39	2.70	10.86	9.91
100% faba bean + 41% onion	94.44	114.33	67.78	65.00	3.67	3.45	10.60	9.48
100% faba bean + 55% onion	106.67	110.00	72.56	70.22	4.68	4.37	10.20	9.72
F - test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
L.S.D	0.05	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	0.01	12.99	11.31	6.92	8.25	0.56	0.68	0.84
Interactions								
60 cm x sole onion Recommended)	138.33	140.00	103.33	95.00	12.15	10.20	11.83	11.00
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)	96.67	103.67	71.67	65.00	2.54	2.28	11.07	10.82
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)	120.00	119.33	77.00	71.67	3.20	2.62	10.73	9.63
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)	88.33	102.33	60.00	53.33	3.16	3.42	10.47	9.15

60 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		98.33	103.33	62.00	56.67	3.53	3.80	10.17	9.45
90 cm x sole onion (I)		133.33	126.67	103.67	91.67	11.47	10.16	11.23	11.23
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		113.33	110.00	78.33	75.00	2.8	2.26	10.20	10.08
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		103.33	117.33	71.67	80.00	3.16	2.34	10.57	9.61
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		98.33	114.00	71.33	63.33	3.68	3.68	10.34	10.08
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		116.67	114.00	74.00	79.00	4.47	4.59	9.53	9.77
120 cm x sole onion (II)		145.00	146.67	110.67	96.67	12.47	10.83	11.70	11.57
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		136.67	131.00	73.33	86.67	3.02	2.38	11.70	10.57
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		115.00	110.00	64.00	68.33	3.80	3.14	11.30	10.48
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		96.67	126.67	72.00	78.33	4.17	3.26	11.00	9.20
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		105.00	112.67	81.67	75.00	5.65	4.73	10.90	9.93
F - test		*	*	**	**	*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	28.67	24.97	---	---	1.23	1.10	0.75	1.32
	0.01	---	---	20.77	24.77	---	---	---	---

WEIGHT INCREASED WITH INCREASING

row spacing. Also, **Kantona et al. (2003)** observed that mean bulb weight decreased as population density increased. Moreover, **Khan et al. (2003)** demonstrated that the wider spacing gave maximum bulb weight. Finally, **Saud et al. (2013)** indicated that maximum bulb weight was observed at 25 cm row spacing followed by 20 cm row spacing while minimum average bulb weight was recorded at 15 cm row spacing.

The widest ridge width recorded the highest bulbs yield (ton) / fad in both seasons followed by 90 cm ridge width; Meanwhile the lowest average bulbs yield/fad in both seasons was recorded by 60 cm ridge width. Generally the closest ridge width could be resulted in less availability of soil nutrients, light and water etc; due to this the bulbs did not attain their respective size. Similar trend of results were observed by **Balraj et al. (1998)** who found that increasing row spacing will result in an increase in onion yield. Conversely, **Kantona et al. (2003)** indicated that total bulb yield increases significantly as population density increases.

2. EFFECT OF CROPPING SYSTEMS

Data in Table (1) showed that cropping systems had significant effects on number of leaves per plant, bulbs length and diameter, plant total weight, bulb weight, bulbs yield/fad in both seasons, Meanwhile plant height was not significantly affected. The results showed that sole onion had the highest number of leaves per plant, bulbs length and diameter, total weight of the plant, bulb weight and bulbs yield per fad in both seasons compared to those of intercropping patterns.

It is expected that sole culture of onion could be decreased intra-specific competition for basic growth resources, especially solar radiation which increased number of leaves per plant and resulted in a positive effect on dry matter accumulation compared to those by intercropping systems. Obviously, sole culture of onion led to largely balance in plant-to-plant competition for climatic and edaphic environmental conditions that enhanced efficiency of photosynthetic process and converted more solar energy to chemical energy and more translocation of photosynthates metabolites to the sink. In this concern, **Smittle (1993)** showed that onion bulbing is controlled by the hi-irradiance response of the phytochrome system which needs continuous irradiation and has a dependency on light intensity. He added that bulbing increases more rapidly as the light intensity of inductive photoperiod's increases, delay in bulb initiation occurs when plants were shaded.

With respect to intercropping patterns, the maximum number of leaves per plant, bulbs length and diameter, total weight of the plant and bulb weight were obtained by intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 27% onion. Conversely, this pattern had the lowest bulbs yield per fad in both seasons. Meanwhile the highest bulbs yield per fad in both seasons was recorded by intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 55% onion. Obviously, the length and diameter of the bulb were decreased by increasing onion plant population density from 27 to 55% as a result of competition for light which reflected on bulb weight (**Kapczyńska, 2013**) but the highest plant density of onion contributed largely in increasing bulbs yield per fad.

3. EFFECT OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN RIDGE WIDTHS AND CROPPING SYSTEMS

Data in Table (1) showed that the interaction between ridge widths and cropping systems had significant effects on plant height, number of leaves per plant, bulbs length and diameter, total weight of the plant, bulb weight and bulbs yield per fad in both seasons. The highest tall of plants was detected by all interactions except the interactions of 60 cm ridge width × 100% faba bean + 33% onion and 90 cm × sole onion in the first season and 60 cm × 100% faba bean + 41% onion and 120 cm × 100% faba bean + 27% onion in the second season where the values of non-expected interactions had no significant differences. The maximum no. of leaves per plant was observed by the interactions of 60 cm ridge width × sole onion and 120 cm ridge width × sole onion in the first season and 60 cm ridge width × sole onion in the second season. The maximum length of bulbs was detected by the interactions of 120 cm ridge width × sole onion (II) in the first season and 60 cm ridge width × sole onion in the second season. The maximum diameter of bulbs was observed by the interactions of 120 cm ridge width × sole onion (II) in both seasons. Moreover, the total weight of plant, bulb weight, bulb yield (ton) / faddan were detected by the interactions; 60 cm ridge width × sole onion (recommended), 90 cm ridge width × sole onion (I) and 120 cm ridge width × sole onion (II) in both seasons, where there is no significant difference between these interactions with respect to each of the traits in view. These data showed that each of these two factors act dependently on plant height, number of leaves per plant, bulbs length and diameter, total weight of the plant, bulb weight and bulbs yield per fad meaning that ridge widths responded differently to cropping systems for these studied traits of onion.

D. RELATIVE YIELDS AND LAND EQUIVALENT RATIO (LER)

The values of LER were estimated by using data of sole cultures of both crops. Intercropping onion with faba bean increased LER as compared to sole cultures of both crops in both seasons.

1. EFFECT OF RIDGE WIDTHS

Data shown in Table (2) showed that ridge widths had significant effects on relative yields of onion and faba bean and LER in both seasons except relative yield of faba bean in 2nd season which was not significantly affected.

The results showed that the widest ridge width 120 cm had the highest relative yields of onion and faba bean and LER. Meanwhile, the closest ridge width 60 cm produced the lowest relative yields of onion and faba bean and LER compared to the other ridge width.

These results could be due to that the widest ridge width had the highest number of plants per unit area which reflected positively on final yield per unit area and relative yields of both species.

2. EFFECT OF CROPPING SYSTEMS

Data appeared in Table (2) showed that cropping systems had significant effects on relative yields of faba bean and onion and LER in both seasons. With respect to onion crop, increasing plant density of onion from 27 to 55% of sole culture significantly increased relative yield of onion from 0.229 to 0.374 in 1st season and from 0.259 to 0.429 in 2nd season under intercropping conditions. While with respect to relative yield of faba bean crop, increasing plant density of onion from 27 to 55% of sole culture significantly decreased relative yield of faba bean from 0.929 to 0.862 in 1st season and from 0.954 to 0.883 in 2nd season (Table 2) under intercropping conditions.

These results could be due to that the lowest plant density of onion could be decreased inter-specific competition between onion and faba bean for basic growth resources which reflected positively on the economic yield of faba bean under intercropping conditions. These results

could be due to intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 55% onion had the highest plant density of onion that contributed largely in increasing bulbs yield per fad and in turn relative yield of onion. Land equivalent ratio (LER) was significantly increased with increasing plant density of onion from 27 to 55% under intercropping culture in both seasons (Table 2). The advantage of the highest LER by intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 55% onion over the others could be due to that the highest plant density of onion contributed largely in increasing yield of the intercrops per fad. These results are in the same line with those obtained by **El-Hawary *et al.* (1991)**, **El Kalla *et al.* (1999)** and **Abou-Keriasha *et al.* (2013)**.

3. EFFECT OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN RIDGE WIDTHS AND CROPPING SYSTEMS

Data in Table (2) showed that' the interaction between ridge widths and cropping systems had significant effects on relative yields of onion and faba bean and LER in both seasons. The results indicate that' the maximum relative yield of onion (0.465 cm in 1st season and 0.464 cm in 2nd season) and LER (1.38 in 1st season and 1.36 in 2nd season) were obtained by intercropping pattern of 100% faba bean + 55% onion on ridge width of 120 cm. Obviously, doubling ridge width from 60 cm to 120 cm integrated with the highest plant density of onion to decrease inter and intra – specific competition between onion and faba bean for basic growth resources' which reflected positively on the economic yield of both species under intercropping culture. These data showed that' each of these two factors act dependently with respect to relative yield of faba bean, where there is no obvious trend in this respect.

Table (2): Relative yields(RY) and land equivalent ratio (LER) as affected by ridge width, cropping systems and their interaction during 2013/14 and 2014/15 seasons.

Traits		Relative yield of onion		Relative yield of faba bean		Land equivalent ratio	
		RY _{onion}		RY _{faba bean}		LER	
Treatments		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
Ridge widths 60 cm		0.256	0.297	0.897	0.888	1.15	1.18
90 cm		0.290	0.325	0.859	0.899	1.14	1.21
120 cm		0.349	0.390	0.950	0.913	1.29	1.24
F - test		*	*	*	N.S.	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	0.038	0.064	0.031	---	0.016	0.060
	0.01	---	---	---	---	---	---
Intercropping patterns							
100% faba bean + 27% onion		0.229	0.259	0.929	0.954	1.15	1.18
100% faba bean + 33% onion		0.279	0.291	0.919	0.901	1.19	1.16
100% faba bean + 41% onion		0.302	0.371	0.896	0.862	1.19	1.20
100% faba bean + 55% onion		0.374	0.429	0.862	0.883	1.23	1.31
F - test		*	*	*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	0.034	0.035	0.018	0.043	0.070	0.070
	0.01	---	---	---	---	---	---
Interactions							
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		0.209	0.224	0.936	0.984	1.14	1.20
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		0.263	0.257	0.907	0.875	1.17	1.13

60 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		0.260	0.335	0.885	0.832	1.14	1.16
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		0.291	0.373	0.860	0.859	1.15	1.23
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		0.230	0.222	0.862	0.916	1.09	1.13
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		0.260	0.229	0.893	0.874	1.15	1.10
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		0.303	0.361	0.874	0.916	1.17	1.27
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		0.368	0.450	0.806	0.888	1.17	1.33
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		0.249	0.233	0.989	0.961	1.23	1.19
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		0.313	0.308	0.955	0.953	1.26	1.26
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		0.343	0.320	0.930	0.836	1.27	1.15
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		0.465	0.464	0.921	0.903	1.38	1.36
F - test		*	*	*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	0.015	0.063	0.089	0.057	0.123	0.121
	0.01	---	---	---	---	---	---

E. RELATIVE CROWDING COEFFICIENT (RCC)

1. EFFECT OF RIDGE WIDTHS

Data in Table (3) showed that' ridge widths had significant effects on RCC in both seasons' where the widest ridge width of 120 cm had the highest RCC, meanwhile' the ridge width of 90 cm produced the lowest RCC compared to the other ridge widths. However, there is no significant difference between ridge width of 120 cm and 60 cm in the second season in this concern.

These results could be due to that the widest ridge width had the highest number of plants per unit area' which reflected positively on final yield per unit area.

2. EFFECT OF CROPPING SYSTEMS

Data in Table (3) showed that' cropping systems had significant effects on RCC in both seasons. Intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 27% onion had the highest RCC compared to the other intercropping patterns. These results could be due to that the lowest plant density of onion could be decreased inter or intra – specific competition between plants of the two species or plants of the same species, respectively, for basic growth resources which reflected positively on the economic yield of faba bean under intercropping conditions.

3. EFFECT OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN RIDGE WIDTHS AND CROPPING SYSTEMS

Data in Table (3) showed that' the interaction between ridge widths and cropping systems had significant effects on RCC in both seasons. The results showed that' the maximum RCC (29.77 in 1st season) was obtained by intercropping pattern of 100% faba bean + 27% onion of ridge width 120 cm. While, intercropping patterns of 60 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion), 120 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion), 120 cm (100% faba bean + 33% onion) and 120 cm x (100% faba bean + 55 % onion) had the highest values of RCC in the second season, where there is no significant difference between these intercropping patterns.

Table (3): Relative crowding coefficient (RCC) as affected by ridge width, cropping systems and their interaction during 2013/14 and 2014/15 seasons.

Traits Treatments		K faba bean		K onion		Relative crowding coefficient RCC	
		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
Ridge widths							
60 cm		3.42	5.98	0.92	1.11	3.14	6.46
90 cm		2.40	3.53	1.07	1.21	2.56	4.46
120 cm		10.80	5.12	1.36	1.30	14.15	6.72
F - test		*	*	*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	4.23	1.20	0.31	0.05	6.74	1.85
	0.01	---	---	---	---	---	---
Intercropping patterns							
100% faba bean + 27% onion		9.97	8.61	1.10	1.08	11.83	9.30
100% faba bean + 33% onion		4.34	3.75	1.18	1.10	5.38	4.48
100% faba bean + 41% onion		3.81	2.87	1.06	1.25	4.22	3.69
100% faba bean + 55% onion		4.03	4.28	1.13	1.38	5.04	6.05
F - test		*	*	*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	3.67	3.95	0.05	0.18	4.58	4.36
	0.01	---	---	---	---	---	---
Interactions							
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		3.93	16.23	0.98	1.07	3.85	17.30

60 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		3.22	2.32	1.08	1.05	3.49	2.43
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		3.16	2.03	0.86	1.23	2.71	2.49
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		3.37	3.35	0.74	1.08	2.51	3.62
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		1.69	2.96	1.11	1.05	1.87	3.12
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		2.77	2.28	1.07	0.90	2.95	2.06
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		2.85	4.49	1.06	1.38	3.02	6.18
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		2.28	4.37	1.06	1.49	2.41	6.50
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		24.30	6.64	1.23	1.13	29.77	7.48
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		7.04	6.65	1.38	1.35	9.70	8.96
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		5.43	2.10	1.27	1.15	6.93	2.40
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		6.45	5.10	1.58	1.57	10.19	8.02
F - test		*	*	*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	16.20	10.30	0.18	0.09	19.52	11.60
	0.01	---	---	---	---	---	---

Obviously, doubling ridge width from 60 to 120 integrated with the lowest plant density of onion to decrease inter or intra – specific competition between plants of the two species or plants of the same species, respectively, for basic growth resources which reflected positively on the economic yield of both species under intercropping conditions. These data revealed that there was effect of ridge widths x intercropping patterns on RCC

F. AGGRESSIVITY

Aggressivity determines the difference in competitive ability of the component crops in intercropping association. The positive sign indicates the dominant component and the negative sign indicates the dominated component. Higher numerical values of aggressiveness denote greater difference in competitive ability, as well as, bigger difference between actual and expected yield in both crops. The results indicate that the value of aggressivity of faba bean was positive for all treatments, while, the values of aggressivity were negative for all intercropped onion with faba bean in both seasons (Table 4). These data showed that faba bean and onion plants are dominant and dominated components, respectively.

1. EFFECT OF RIDGE WIDTHS

Data shown in Table (4) revealed that ridge widths had significant effects on aggressivity in both seasons where the widest ridge width 120 cm had the lowest values of aggressivity, meanwhile the ridge width 60 cm produced the highest values of aggressivity compared to the others ridge widths.

Significantly maximum aggressivity (0.28 in 1st season and 0.16 in 2nd season) were obtained by growing intercrops at ridges of 60 cm width, while the ridge width of 120 cm gave minimum aggressivity (0.09 in 1st season and 0.08 in 2nd season).

2. EFFECT OF INTERCROPPING SYSTEMS

Data in Table (4) showed that intercropping systems had no significant effects on aggressivity in both seasons.

Table (4): Aggressivity as affected by ridge width, cropping systems and their interaction during 2013/14 and 2014/15 seasons.

Traits		Agg+ faba bean		Agg- onion	
		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
Treatments					
Ridge widths					
60 cm		+0.28	+0.16	-0.28	-0.16
90 cm		+0.14	+0.13	-0.14	-0.13
120 cm		+0.09	+0.08	-0.09	-0.08
F - test		*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	0.025	0.020	0.025	0.020
	0.01	---	---	---	---
Intercropping patterns					
100% faba bean + 27% onion		+0.10	+0.15	-0.10	-0.15
100% faba bean + 33% onion		+0.10	+0.13	-0.10	-0.13
100% faba bean + 41% onion		+0.23	+0.05	-0.23	-0.05
100% faba bean + 55% onion		+0.28	+0.16	-0.28	-0.16
F - test		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
L.S.D	0.05	---	---	---	---
	0.01	---	---	---	---
Interactions					
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		+0.21	+0.20	-0.21	-0.20
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		+0.14	+0.13	-0.14	-0.13
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		+0.35	+0.02	-0.35	-0.02

60 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		+0.51	+0.28	-0.51	-0.28
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		+0.01	+0.12	-0.01	-0.12
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		+0.14	+0.24	-0.14	-0.24
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		+0.19	+0.05	-0.19	-0.05
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		+0.21	+0.11	-0.21	-0.11
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		+0.09	+0.12	-0.09	-0.12
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		+0.01	+0.03	-0.01	-0.03
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		+0.13	+0.08	-0.13	-0.08
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		+0.12	+0.09	-0.12	-0.09
F - test		*	*	*	*
L.S.D	0.05	0.142	0.120	0.142	0.120
	0.01	---	---	---	---

3. EFFECT OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN RIDGE WIDTHS AND CROPPING SYSTEMS

Data shown in Table (4) pointed out that' the interaction between ridge widths and cropping systems had significant effects on aggressivity in both seasons. The maximum aggressivity (0.51 in 1st season and 0.28 in 2nd season) was obtained by intercropping pattern of 100% faba bean + 55% onion of ridge width 60 cm. Obviously, ridge width of 60 cm integrated with the highest plant density of onion to increase inter – or intra specific competition between plants of the two species or plants of the same species, respectively, for basic growth resources. In other words, increasing plant density of onion led to increased competitive pressure between plants of the two species or plants of the same species, respectively, for basic growth resources and this effect was decreased by increasing ridge width from 60 to 120 cm under intercropping conditions. These data showed that' each of these two factors act dependently on aggressivity.

G. INTERCROPPING ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE

The economic performance of the intercropping was evaluated to determine if onion and faba bean combined yields are high enough for the farmers to adopt this system. The data listed in Tabe (5) revealed that' the averages of monetary advantage index (MAI) values of intercropping 100% faba bean + 55% onion of ridge width 120 cm achieved the highest MAI (L.E. 4554.76 in 1st season and L.E. 4013.20 in 2nd season) compared to the others. However, the lowest MAI was achieved by ridge width 90 cm x intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 27% onion in 1st season and by ridge width 90 cm x intercropping pattern 100% faba bean + 33% onion in 2nd season. Differences between the highest and the lowest values of MAI were L.E. 3584.75 in 1st season and L.E. 3047.62 in 2nd season. Obviously, intercropping 100% faba bean + 55% onion of ridge width 120 cm resulted in high profitability and could be recommended. These results are in parallel with those observed by **Abou-Keriasha *et al.* (2013)**.

Table (7): Economic return as affected by ridge width, cropping systems and their interaction during 2013/14 and 2014/15 seasons

Traits Treatments		Economic return/fad (L.E.)							
		Onion		Faba bean		Total		Monetary advantage index (MAI)	
		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		3810	3420	8191.8	8006.8	12001.8	11426.8	1473.90	1904.46
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		4800	3930	7940.2	7126.2	12740.2	11056.2	1851.14	1271.95
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		4740	5130	7747.8	6771.0	12487.8	11901.0	1533.58	1641.51
60 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		5295	5700	7525.8	6993.0	12820.8	12693.0	1672.27	2373.48
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		4200	3390	7548.0	7459.2	11748.0	10849.2	970.01	1248.13
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		4740	3510	7821.8	7111.4	12561.8	10621.4	1638.49	965.58
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		5520	5520	7651.6	7459.2	13171.6	12979.2	1913.82	2759.35
90 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		6705	6885	7052.2	7229.8	13757.2	14114.8	1998.90	3502.16
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 27% onion)		4530	3570	8658.0	7821.8	13188.0	11391.8	2466.04	1818.85
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 33% onion)		5700	4710	8362.0	7755.2	14062.0	12465.2	2901.68	2572.18
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 41% onion)		6255	4890	8140.0	6808.0	14395.0	11698.0	3060.35	1525.82
120 cm x (100% faba bean + 55% onion)		8475	7095	8066.0	8066.0	16541.0	15161.0	4554.76	4013.20
F - test		**	*	**	**	**	**	**	**
L.S.D	0.05	143	116	43.4	32.6	136.8	127.1	203.57	193.94
	0.01	211	---	94.3	97.9	187.5	182.3	298.72	315.81

CONCLUSION

Increasing plant density of onion under intercropping culture from 27 to 55% of ridge width 120 cm achieved the highest bulbs yield and economic return. This treatment produced 10.90 ardab of faba bean seeds + 9.93 ton of bulbs/fad.

REFERENCES

1. Abd El-Rahman Rehab A.M.(2014). Effect of plant population and distribution on yield and yield components of five faba bean genotypes. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 5 (11): 1965 – 1972.
2. Abou-Keriasha, M.A., Nadia M.A. Eisa and N.M.H. El-Wakil(2013). Effects of intercropping faba bean on onion and wheat with or without inoculated bacteria on yields of the three crops. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, 35 (2): 169 – 182.
3. Ageeb O.A.A. (1983). Effect of row and plant spacing on the seed yield of faba bean. ICARDA/IFAD Nile Valley Project on faba bean, 13- 17 September 1984, Cairo
4. Almeida F.A.C., L. López-Bellido, M. Fuentes and J.E. Castillo (1995). Effect of plant density on growth and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) in Mediterranean conditions. In: AEP (Ed.), Proc. Second Eur. Conf. on Grain Legumes, 9–13 July, Copenhagen, Denmark, p. 167
5. Balraj S.R., S. Sharma and Y. Kumar (1998). Effect of bulb spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and seed yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Seed Res. Jaipur, India.*, 180 – 182.
6. Banik P., A. Midya, B.K. Sarkar and S.S. Ghose (2006). Wheat and chickpea intercropping systems in an additive series experiment: advantages and weed smothering. *Eur. J. Agron.*, 24: 325 – 332.
7. Bianchi A.A. (1979). Results of three years of experimental trials on the cultural techniques of the horse bean for seeding (*Vicia faba minor* Beck). 2- Plant densities and distance between the rows. *Riv Agron.*, 13: 201 – 206.
8. Bulletin of Statistical Cost Production and Net Return(2015). Winter Field Crops and Vegetables and Fruit, Agriculture Statistics and Economic Sector, Ministry of Egyptian Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Part (1), February 2015, Egypt.
9. Caballero R.(1987). The effect of plant population and row width on seed yields and yields components of field beans. *Res. Dev. Agric.*, 4: 147 – 150.
10. Dorcas A.O.A., M.D. Magaji, A. Singh, R. Ibrahim and Y. Siddiqu (2012). Irrigation scheduling for onion (*Allium cepa* L.) at various plant densities in a Semi-Arid environment. UMT 11th Int. Annu Sym. on Sustain. Sci. and Management, Terengganu, Malaysia.
11. El-Hawary N.A., M.M. El-Mihi, I.O. Metwally, M.A. El-Masry and A.S. Kamel (1991). Influence of intercropping patterns and foliar spray with micronutrients on growth and yield of faba bean and onion. *Ann. Agric. Sci., Moshtohor*, 29 (2): 669 – 679.
12. El Kalla S.E., A.K. Mostafa, A.A. Leilah and A.A. Rokia. (1999). Mineral and bio-phosphatic fertilization for intercropped faba bean and onion. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 77: 253–271.
13. Ghobashi A.A. and M.A.T. El-Aweel (1996). A preliminary study on intercropping onion, faba bean and chickpea in the Sultanate Oman. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 21(7): 2463 – 2472.

14. Javadzadeh A., A. Ghorbanihaghjo, S. Bonyadi, MR Rashidi, M Mesgari, N Rashtchizadeh and H. Argani (2009). Preventive effect of onion juice on selenite-induced experimental cataract. *Ind. J. Ophthalmol.*, 57: 185 – 189.
15. Kantona R.A.L.L., R.G. Abbeyb, M.A. Hillac, N.D. Tabil.(2003). Density affects plant development and yield of bulb onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Northern Ghana. *J. Veg. Crop Prod.*, 8(2): 15 – 25.
16. Kapczyńska A. (2013). Effect of plant spacing on the growth, flowering and bulb production of four lachenalia cultivars. *South African Journal of Botany* 88: 164–169.
17. Khalil S.K., A. Wahab, A. Rehman, F. Muhammad, S. Wahab, A.Z. Khan, M. Zubair, M.K. Shah, H. Khalil and R. Amin(2010). Density and planting date influence phenological development, assimilate partitioning and dry matter production of faba bean. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 42(6): 3831 – 3838.
18. Khan M.A., M.K. Hasan, M.A.J. Miah, M.M. Alam and A.S.M.H. Masum (2003). Effect of plant spacing on the growth and yield of different cultivars of onion. *Pak. J. Bio. Sci.*, 6(18): 1582 – 1585.
19. López-Bellido F.J., L. López-Bellido and R.J. López- Bellido (2005). Competition, growth and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Eur. J. Agron.*, 23: 359 – 378.
20. Loss S.P., K.H.M. Siddique, L.D. Martin and A. Crombie (1998). Responses of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to sowing rate in South-western Australia. Part II: canopy development, radiation absorption and dry matter partitioning. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 49: 999 – 1008.
21. Mathews P.W., E.L. Armstrong, C.J. Lisle, I.D. Menz, P.L. Shephard and B.C. Armstrong (2008). The effect of faba bean plant population on yield, seed quality and plant architecture under irrigation in southern NSW. *Proc. 14th Aust. Agron. Conf.*, September, Adelaide South Australia. www.agronomy.org.au.
22. Mead R. and R.W. Willey (1980). The concept of a land equivalent ratio and advantages in yields from intercropping. *Exp. Agric.*, 16: 217 – 228.
23. Pilbeam C.J., P.D. Hebblethwaite, H.E. Ricketts and T.E. Nyongesa (1991). Effects of plant population density on determinate and indeterminate forms of winter field beans (*Vicia faba*). Part 1: Yield and yield components. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 116: 375 – 383.
24. Ragab A.A., A.T. Emanand and S.M. Abd El-Rasoul (2010). A comparison between traditional and recent bioinocula on growth and productivity of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) grown in calcareous soil. *Int. J. Academic Res.*, 2(4): 245 – 253.
25. Saud S., Yajun C., Razaq M., Luqman M., Fahad S., Abdullah M. and Sadiq A.(2013). Effect of potash levels and row spacings on onion yield. *J. Bio. Agric. and Healthcare*, 3(16): 118 – 127.
26. Smittle, D.A. (1993). Onion Production in the Tropics: Effect of Environment on Onion Growth and Development Sweet Onion Production in the Tropics. Export Industry Technology Support Project (Agricultural Component), Assignment No. ST-115.
27. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1973). *Statistical Methods*. 6th Ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press. Iowa, USA.
28. USDA. (2005). USDA Economics, Statistics and Market Information System, World dry-bulb onions: Production, 1961–2005 (Albert R. Mann Library, Cornell University)